

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE               |              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/664,165      | 09/17/2003                                |              | Yuji Imaizumi        | 0283-0178P          | 4193             |
| 2292            | 7590                                      | 01/27/2005   |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|                 |   | KOLASCH & BI | REYES, H             | REYES, HECTOR M     |                  |
|                 | PO BOX 747<br>FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 |              |                      |                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                 | ĺ   |              |                      | 1625                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirly (30) days, a reply within the statut. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application for reply may reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this comearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 November 20 (2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is replaced in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Questions of Claims  4) Claim(s) 12-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-16 and 17-22 in part is/are will be application in some claim (s) 17-2 in part and 23 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 17-2 in part and 23 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election results are subject to restriction and/or election results are subject to restriction and/or election results are subject to the drawing(s) be Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required to the drawing(s) be Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required to the cartified copies of the priority documents have been a Certified copies of the priority documents have been a Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been accordance with the prio | eyes   | Applicant(s)  IMAIZUMI ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ## Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statular if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will. If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application for period yield apply and will. Pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application are depressed by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this come area patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 November 20 and 20 This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is not accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordance   | eyes   | IMAIZUMI ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hector M R  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no everather Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statut.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application for period period the provision of the status.  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 November 20 and part term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 November 20 and part term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is not accordance with the practice under Ex parte Question accordance with the practice under Ex parte Question accordance with the practice under Ex parte Question is in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Question of Claims  4) Claim(s) 12-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-16 and 17-22 in part is/are with some part and 23 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 17-2 in part and 23 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election reaction reaction replication request that any objection to the drawing(s) by Replacament drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required to the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been and Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been and Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been and copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been an    | <u> </u>   | I  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evera after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statut.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applicative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applicative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applicative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applicative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application and part of the provided period for reply will, by statute, cause the application and part of the provided period for reply will, by statute, cause the application is offered and the mailing date of this commended part of the provided period for reply will, by statute, cause the application is offered and the mailing date of this commended part of the provided period for reply will, by statute, cause the application is offered and the mailing date of this commended part of the provided period for reply will, by statute, cause the application is offered and the provided part of the provided period for the drawing (s) for the provided part of      | <u> </u>   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirly (30) days, a reply within the statut. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application for reply may reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this comearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 November 20 (2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is replaced in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Questions of Claims  4) Claim(s) 12-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-16 and 17-22 in part is/are will be application in some claim (s) 17-2 in part and 23 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 17-2 in part and 23 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election results are subject to restriction and/or election results are subject to restriction and/or election results are subject to the drawing(s) be Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required to the drawing(s) be Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required to the cartified copies of the priority documents have been a Certified copies of the priority documents have been a Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been accordance with the prio | nver sheet with the c  | 1625   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statular. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applic Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this come earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 November 20 2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is not accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarticles in accordanc  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 20 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is not 3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except foliased in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quantum Claims  4)  Claim(s) 12-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-16 and 17-22 in part is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 17-2 in part and 23 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election results.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required the order of the correction is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  | t, however, may a reply be time<br>ony minimum of thirty (30) days<br>expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>ation to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is not allowance except for closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quarter Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-16 and 17-22 in part is/are with some claim(s) 12-16 and 17-22 in part is/are with some claim(s) 17-2 in part and 23 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-2 in part and 23 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ are subject to restriction and/or election results and some claim is and contains and some claim is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required to the correction is required to be contained as the correction is required to be corrected as □ ☐ All □ Bl ☐ Some * cli ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been and copies of the copies of the priority documents have been and copies of the copies of the copies o  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 12-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-16 and 17-22 in part is/are wi  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 17-2 in part and 23 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election results are subject to by the Examiner.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required and the content of the priority under subjected to by the Examiner. Not the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under an   | n-final.<br>or formal matters, pro   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-16 and 17-22 in part is/are wis 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 17-2 in part and 23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election results. Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Not Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is require 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Not Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  |  | eration.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents   | held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/17/03.  | 4) ☐ Interview Summary   | r (PTO-413)<br>ate<br>Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/664,165 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1625** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Election**

Examiner acknowledges Applicant's response to restriction request, wherein Group III was elected together with compound (1), dichlorodehydroabietic acid as the species required for search purposes. Group is drawn to:

III. Claims 17-20 in part and 21-22 in part, drawn to a method of opening potassium channels comprising administering NONHETEROCYCLIC derivatives embraced in formula II, classified in multiple classes and multiple subclasses. This group may be subjected to further restriction. A single disclosed species is hereby requested for search purpose.

The said election, dated on 11/19/04 was made with traverse base upon the following arguments:

- All instant claims are link by a single general inventative concept
- All claims are classified in class 514 and not in multiple classes and subclasses
- There is no burden in search all the subject matter present in the claims.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and found not persuasive because: Regarding the allegedly unity of invention, the inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Compounds required to practice the claimed methods are different since each one of them has a substantially different core and substitution of variable groups in any of the

Art Unit: 1625

cores does not provide compounds required in any of the other Inventions. For instance compound II and I have different core thus there is not unity of invention between Groups I and II and Groups III and IV. <sup>1</sup>Regarding the structure II, compounds required in elected Groups III and nonelected Group IV, there is a clear lack of unity since the common core in the said compounds is not Applicant's contribution to the prior art, since a preliminary search indicates that there are thousands of compounds disclosing the said core. See for instance compound having a registry number 798557-11-6, disclosed in 1913.

Page 3

Moreover, each set of compounds embraced by each group has a different structure and reactivity from the others that a reference anticipating one group would not necessarily render the other obvious and to search all the different structurally diverse compounds in a single application would present a serious undue burden to the Examiner. Thus a given reference anticipating or suggesting one of the above methods would not anticipates or suggest any of the other inventions under the meaning of 35 USC 102 or 35 USC 103.

Regarding the classification issue, once a particular compound is required in a given method, the classification of the said method is drawn to the classification of the compound, in addition to the classification of the method itself.

Thus, a serious burden in search exists in searching and evaluating all the subject matter included in all claims in a single application.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See preliminary search of the said core wherein the incomplete search (due to the system limit

### **Status of The Claims**

Claims 1-11 have been cancelled. Claims 12-22 have been subjected to restriction.

New claim 23 has been added. The following Examination is directed to the elected group III, directed to claims 17-20 in part and 21-22 in part, drawn to a method of opening potassium channels comprising administering NONHETEROCYCLIC derivatives. Since new claim 23 is directed to the elected species it is also incorporated in the elected group.

Claims 12-16 and non-elected subject matter embraced by claims 17-20 and 21-23 are withdrawn from consideration.

## **Information Disclosure Statement**

Examiner has considered IDS dated on December 17, 2004. The Examiner also acknowledges Applicant's drawings and Applicant's letter filed on July 20, 2004 wherein it is indicated that the priority documents are forwarded to the Office. However, no copies of the said priority documents were found in the record.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the treatment of essential hypertension, tonic

bladder, airway hyperresponsiveness and ischemic central nervous disorder, does not reasonably provide enablement for:

- Preventing essential hypertension,
- Preventing tonic bladder,
- Preventing airway hyperresponsiveness and
- Preventing ischemic central nervous disorder.

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. In the said determination the following factors have been considered. In <u>In re Wands</u>, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph have been described. They are herein discussed in view of the instant invention:

### The nature of the invention

In claims 17 and 22 Applicants claim a series of methods to treat and/or prevent:

- Essential hypertension
- Tonic bladder
- Airways hyperresponsiveness or
- Ishcemic central nervous system disorder.

While in pages 1 and 2 of the instant specification a connection or nexus for the treatment of the said conditions or disorders and the opening of the calcium activated

Art Unit: 1625

potassium channels is established, the specification is mute regarding the method for preventing the said diseases or conditions.

#### The state of the prior art and the predictability or lack thereof in the art

The state of the prior art is that it involves screening *in vitro* and *in vivo* to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activities (i.e. what compounds can treat or prevent which specific disease). There is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. The existence of these obstacles establishes that the contemporary knowledge in the art would prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from accepting any therapeutic or preventive regimen on its face.

Moreover, there is no prior art disclosing the prevention of the said diseases or conditions.

The instant claimed inventions are highly unpredictable as discussed below:

It is noted that the pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. In re Fisher, 427 F.2d 833, 166 USPQ

18 (CCPA 1970) indicates that the more unpredictable an area is, the more specific enablement is necessary in order to satisfy the statute. In the instant case, the prevention of the said medical conditions or diseases are highly unpredictable since one skilled in the art would need to carry out experimentation to determine if any of the multiple claimed compounds embraced by formula II are, indeed, active in the prevention of:

Art Unit: 1625

Essential hypertension, Tonic bladder, Airways hyperresponsiveness or Ishcemic central nervous system disorder and if as a consequence, the prevention of the said disorders or conditions can be achieved.

Moreover, the specification lacks to show:

- A method to determine the subjects that would certainly suffer from the said conditions or diseases
- A method showing the treatment that the said subjects need to undergo in order to prevent the said conditions or diseases
- Any data showing a follow up of the said subject already treated by a
  considerable amount of time in order to support the argument that the said
  subjects are no longer at any risk from suffering from the said diseases or
  conditions, including possible side effects affecting other organs of the body.

#### The level of the skill in the art

The level of skill in the art is high. However, due to the unpredictability in the pharmaceutical art, it is noted that each embodiment of the invention is required to be individually assessed for physiological activity by in vitro and in vivo screening to determine:

- 1) A clear process for identification of <u>all subjects</u> that would suffer any of the said diseases with a high degree of accuracy
- 3) Subjecting a representative group of the said subjects to the treatment following a clear series of steps (process)

Art Unit: 1625

 A process, including the right age, time and conditions for the subject to receive the "effective amount of the said compound" and the proper treatment-time

3) Follow up of the treated subjects for a considerable time in order to show that
the subjects already treated would never again suffer from any of the multiple
diseases embraced in the claims and the secondary effects, if any, originated by
the said treatment.

Thus, the specification fails to provide sufficient support for the said prevention methods. Hence, one of skill in the art would have to perform an exhaustive experimentation without any expectative of success in order to practice the claimed invention regarding the said method of prevention.

Applicants is remind that Genentech Inc. v. Novo Nordisk A/S (CA FC) 42 USPQ2d 1001, states that "a patent is not a hunting license. It is not a reward for search, but compensation for its successful conclusion" and "[p]atent protection is granted in return for an enabling disclosure of an invention, not for vague intimations of general ideas that may or may not be workable".

Therefore, in view of the Wands factors and In re Fisher (CCPA 1970) discussed above, to practice the claimed invention herein, a person of skill in the art would have to engage in undue experimentation to test which diseases can be prevented by the as described in the instant claims, with no assurance of success.

The said elements are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, however are not included in the claims and not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). Without the proper identification of subjects

Art Unit: 1625

that certainly would suffer a given condition or disease, and further identification of conditions of treatment and follow up of the said group of individuals for a long period of time cannot exist a prevention of a given disease.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 17-21 and 23 are indefinite because the phrase "A method of opening potassium channels" is indefinite. What exactly does it mean the "opening of the potassium channels? Indeed the said phrase render such claims as <u>reach through claims</u>. Are the said claims directed to the treatment of a particular disease or groups of diseases? Are future diseases yet to be related to the "opening of potassium channels" embraced by the said "mechanism of action? Can Applicant claim the treatment of possible future diseases, even before they emerge or are related to the opening of the potassium channels? Applicant is advised that reach-through claims are improper and indefinite.

Claim 22 is indefinite and confusing because it is not clear how the said method can **prevent** the multiple set of diseases in terms of the methodology use to achieve the said prevention. How can certainly be known the subjects that would be suffering from

Art Unit: 1625

the said disease? How are they identified? What is the strategy used in order to shown that the said individuals would never again suffer from the said disease?

## **Allowable Subject Matter**

No prior art disclosing or suggesting a method of treating essential hypertension, tonic bladder, airway hyperresponsiveness and ischemic central nervous disorder using nonheterocyclic derivatives of formula II were found disclosed in the prior art. The closest art of record was found in Singh et al, J. Chem. Soc. Perkins Trans. (1994), pp3349-3352.

Singh discloses a dihydroxyisoprimane derivative as a agonist of Maxi-Potassium channels. The said derivative is not embrace by formula II or suggests derivatives of the said formula.

# CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hector M. Reyes whose telephone number is (571) 272-0691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner 's primary, Ms. Rita Desai, which telephone number, is (571) 272-0584 or Examiner's Supervisor Ms. Cecilia Tsang, at (571) 272-0562.

Héctor M. Reyes PhD, JD

Ms. Rita Desai

ppser. 1/25/05.

Art Unit: 1625

Art Unit 1625 USPTO Reg. # 54,846 January 25, 2005. **Primary Patent Examiner** 

Page 11